

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/993,699	12/18/1997	DONALD E. HANEY	HAN301F	5539
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL DICKINSON MCCORMACK & HEUSER 520 S W YAMHILL STREET			, ROSE, ROBERT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200 PORTLAND,	OR 97204		3723 DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003 24	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/993,699

Applicant(s)

Haney

Examiner

Robert Rose

Art Unit 3723

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	or Reply			
THE	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 18, 2	2003		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16-48</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>34</u>	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16, 17, 19-33, 35-40, and 42-48</u>	is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) 18 and 41			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) □] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
15)	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm		priority under 33 0.0.0. 33 120 dilu/01 121.		
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Serial Number: 08/993699 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-15 have been canceled.
- 2. Claims 16-48 are presented for examination.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 19, 24, 26-33, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's new limitation of the second motion of the platen being "rotational" is deemed to constitute new matter not supported by the specification. It is clear that the only secondary motion of the platen disclosed in the specification is a curvilinear translational motion, which cannot be characterized as rotational.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 16-17, 19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Linden(Netherlands Patent No. 8802627). Linden discloses all of the

Serial Number: 08/993699 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

subject matter set forth in Applicant's claims above. The platen carries a deformable pad and an abrasive, and is driven in a curvilinear translational orbiting motion superimposed on a twisting motion of the platen(see translation page 3, last paragraph).

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 20, 23, 25, 38-40, and 42-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linden. To provide the abrasive in the form of an abrasive sheet to facilitate removal and replacement of the abrasive as a unit when worn, would have been at most an obvious matter of design choice to those of ordinary skill in the art, since it is well known in the abrasive tool art to secure abrasive in the form of sheets to backup platens for this reason. With regard to claim 25, the incorporation of additional such platens into the device of Linden to treat the workpiece in successive steps, would have constituted no more than an obvious duplication of parts.
- 9. Claims 18, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claim 34 is allowed.

Serial Number: 08/993699 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

 \mathbf{rr}

. (

November 10, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323